

Duke, Daphne

237901

**From:** Easterling, Deborah  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 9:31 AM  
**To:** Duke, Daphne  
**Subject:** FW: 2012-186-E  
**Attachments:** 2012-7-24 Letter2PSC.docx; Response2Company 2012-7-24 v2.docx

---

**From:** joe4ocean@aim.com [mailto:joe4ocean@aim.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, July 24, 2012 9:29 AM  
**To:** Contact  
**Subject:** Fwd: 2012-186-E

To be sure both files are enclosed this second e-mail is sent.  
Joseph Wojcicki

-----Original Message-----

From: joe4ocean <joe4ocean@aim.com>  
To: contact <contact@psc.sc.gov>; joe4solar <joe4solar@aim.com>; joe4ocean <joe4ocean@aim.com>  
Sent: Tue, Jul 24, 2012 9:26 am  
Subject: 2012-186-E

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Please find attached two files in MS Word 2010 format  
Their printed version with my signatures is in the first class mail  
Their copies are sent to SCANA and ORS

Joseph "Joe" Wojcicki

[joe4ocean@aim.com](mailto:joe4ocean@aim.com)

RECEIVED

PLSC  
MAIL/DMS

Tuesday, July 24, 2012

**The Honorable Jocelyn G. Boyd**

Chief Clerk / Administrator

Public Service Commission of South Carolina

101 Executive Center Drive

Columbia, South Carolina 29210

RE: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Annual Request for Revised Rates

Docket No. 2012-186-E

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Enclosed is my, Joseph Wojcicki's RESPONSE TO SCE&G RETURN/OBJECTIONS. With PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE for filing in above docket.

By copy of this letter, I do also serve:

\_ SCANA /CE&G Company, and

\_SC Office of Regulatory Staff.

I am ready to answer any questions as needed.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Wojcicki – MSEE, energy consultant in BYPAS INTERNATIONAL

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA  
BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION  
DOCKET No. 2012-186-E

IN MATTER OF: South Carolina Electric & Gas Company's Annual Request for Revised Rates	<b>_RESPONSE TO SCE&amp;G RETURN/OBJECTIONS. _PETITION FOR RULE TO SHOW CAUSE.</b>
--	--

I, Joseph Wojcicki, being the SCANA shareholder, have filed Petition to Intervene in the SC Public Service Commission ("PSC") dated 2012 July 9 according to the PSC Rules and in the form presented in Pro se ... Guide in above case / matter. SCE&G ("Company") Returned in Opposition and Objection to this Petition their document dated 2012 July 19.

In their ARGUMENT authors are breaching shareholders rights (see *inter alia* SC Code Sections 33-16-102-104) - the rights in the scope larger than given to their customers, and trust. The cited "standing standards" have bald and false interpretations that are completely against common sense and my "investments or personal stake". As an example: they cannot prove that their kWhours were not used in my house, my dollars (some surely from SCANA account) were not spent for Jenkinsville project, etc.

Please note that my good faith is in the proper, prudent, public and economic interest in such new technical project in the Nuclear Renaissance.

Please also note that I do not pretend to ask for change in regulations via Honorable Commission which I do respect as a guardian of public interest.

Therefore, I do respectfully ask Commission to issue an order for Company to fairly and fully explain why they

- (a) Refuse shareholder's right. *Inter alia*, limiting his action as an intervenor in the above case.
- (b) Intentionally misled Commission about lack or non-existing
  - (1) Personal stake.
  - (2) Concrete and particularized factors.
  - (3) Actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical where adjective "hypothetical" rather apply to Company permits that enforce necessity to intervene by an independent engineer.

Respectfully submitted,

Joseph Wojcicki – MSEE, energy consultant in BYPAS INTERNATIONAL

820 East Steele Road

West Columbia, SC 29170-1125

2012 July 24